# Power-law and exponential tails in a stochastic priority-based model queue

G. Grinstein and R. Linsker

IBM T. J. Watson Research Center, P. O. Box 218, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598, USA (Received 18 September 2007; published 7 January 2008)

We derive exact asymptotic results for a stochastic queueing model in which tasks are executed according to a continuous-valued priority. The distribution  $P(\tau)$  of the waiting times  $\tau$  of executed tasks for this model is shown to behave asymptotically as a power law,  $P(\tau) \sim \tau^{-3/2}$ , when the average rates of task arrival  $\lambda$  and execution  $\mu$  satisfy  $\mu \leq \lambda$  (as was earlier noted empirically). For  $\mu > \lambda$ ,  $P(\tau) \sim \tau^{-5/2} \exp[-(\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\lambda})^2 \tau]$ .

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.77.012101

PACS number(s): 02.50.Ey, 89.20.-a

# I. INTRODUCTION

In an interesting recent series of papers [1–3], Barabási and co-workers analyzed data on activities such as web browsing, library use, and the exchange of letters and e-mail messages. Studying the distributions  $P(\tau)$  of waiting times  $\tau$ between successive events—for example, the time it takes for the recipient of a letter or e-mail message to reply to it—they reported that the distributions exhibited heavy tails consistent with power laws  $P(\tau) \sim \tau^{-\alpha}$  over some range of  $\tau$ . The reported values of  $\alpha$  were close to 3/2 for written correspondence and close to 1 for the other activities. These results stand in contrast to much of the large literature on waiting-time distributions for real and model queues, where exponential decays of  $P(\tau)$  are typical [4].

Several elementary queueing models were considered in Refs. [1-3] to try to explain the observed behavior. One of these models was the continuous-priority version of a classic model by Cobham [5]—a stochastic queue wherein tasks are selected for execution on the basis of a discrete task priority value. The continuous-priority version of this model was indeed found numerically to exhibit asymptotic power-law behavior of  $P(\tau)$ , with exponent 3/2, provided the average rate  $\lambda$  of task arrival equals [1–3] or exceeds [2,3] the average rate  $\mu$  of task execution. We subsequently derived this result analytically [6], as well as the new result [6]  $P(\tau)$  $\sim \tau^{-5/2} \exp(-\tau/\tau_0)$  for  $\mu > \lambda$ , by approximating the number of tasks in the queue by a continuous variable, and thereby mapping the model onto the familiar problem of biased diffusion; here  $\tau_0$  is a characteristic time that diverges as ( $\mu$  $(-\lambda)^{-2}$  as  $\lambda$  approaches  $\mu$  from below.

In the present paper, we solve the model without approximation, deriving exact asymptotic results for the realistic case in which the number of tasks is an integer [7]. We show that indeed  $P(\tau) \sim \tau^{-3/2}$  for  $\mu \leq \lambda$ , and that  $P(\tau)$  $\sim \tau^{-5/2} \exp[-(\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\lambda})^2 \tau]$  for  $\mu > \lambda$ .

## II. THE MODEL: STOCHASTIC, CONTINUOUS-PRIORITY QUEUE

In the continuous-priority version [1] of the Cobham model [5], new tasks, having priority x (with  $0 \le x \le 1$ ) chosen randomly from an arbitrary probability distribution  $\rho(x)$  (which we make uniform by a simple transformation, without any loss of generality [6]), arrive in the queue with an average rate  $\lambda$ . The highest-priority task in the queue is ex-

ecuted with an average rate  $\mu$ . As in Ref. [1], we consider the case in which the removal from the queue of a task that has been selected for execution occurs instantaneously (i.e., with zero service time).

#### **III. ANALYSIS**

In a manner similar to Ref. [6], the overall probability per unit time,  $P(\tau)$ , that a given task sits in the queue for a time  $\tau$  before being executed is conveniently expressed in terms of two quantities: (a) the probability per unit time,  $G(n, x, \tau)$ , that a given task of priority x, which arrives in the queue at time t=0 with exactly n items of higher priority (i.e., larger x) already in the queue, gets executed at time  $\tau$ ; and (b) the probability  $\tilde{Q}(n, x)$  of there being exactly n items in the queue with priority greater than x, once a steady state has been achieved [cf. Ref. [8], Eq. (2.10)]:

$$P(\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_0^1 dx \, \tilde{Q}(n,x) G(n,x,\tau).$$
(1)

As in [6], let Q(m,x,t) be the probability that at time *t* there are exactly *m* tasks with priority greater than *x* in the queue, for m=0,1,2,.... Then Q(m,x,t) satisfies the following master equations, for m>0 and m=0, respectively:

$$\partial Q(m,x,t) / \partial t = aQ(m+1,x,t) + bQ(m-1,x,t) - (a+b)Q(m,x,t), \partial Q(0,x,t) / \partial t = aQ(1,x,t) - bQ(0,x,t);$$
(2)

here  $a = \mu$  and  $b(x) = \lambda \int_x^1 \rho(z) dz = \lambda(1-x)$  are the respective probabilities per unit time of the number of tasks with priorities greater than x in the queue decreasing by 1 (provided  $m \ge 1$ ) and increasing by 1. (We will generally suppress the explicit x dependence of b in what follows.)

As in Ref. [6], it is simple to show that for  $\mu > \lambda$  the steady-state solution of Eqs. (2) achieved as  $t \to \infty$ , where  $\partial Q(m, x, t) / \partial t$  vanishes for all *m*, is

$$Q(m,x) = (1 - b/a)(b/a)^m.$$
 (3)

Since (again, as in [6]), b(0) approaches a as  $\lambda$  approaches  $\mu$  from below, the distribution  $\tilde{Q}(m,0)$  becomes uniform in m, and the mean number of tasks in the queue in steady state,  $\langle m(x=0) \rangle$ , diverges as  $1/(\mu - \lambda)$ . Thus, though in this strict

sense the steady-state distribution is ill defined for  $\lambda = \mu$  [4,8], in fact the queue does have well-defined steady-state properties since, for  $\lambda = \mu$ , the mean number of tasks with priorities greater than *x* is  $\langle m(x) \rangle = (1/x-1)$  and thus remains finite for any x > 0. (The case  $\mu < \lambda$ , shown in [6] to be closely related to the case  $\mu = \lambda$ , will be summarized at the end of the paper.)

We continue with the analysis, restricting ourselves to  $\mu \ge \lambda$  for now. To compute  $G(n, x, \tau)$ , consider p(m, x, t), the probability that at time *t* there are exactly *m* tasks with priority greater than or equal to *x* in the queue, and that these tasks include the task with priority *x* that arrived at time *t* =0; here *m* is a positive integer. For m > 1 and m = 1, respectively, p(m, x, t) satisfies master equations similar to those for Q(m, x, t):

$$\frac{\partial p(m,x,t)}{\partial t} = ap(m+1,x,t) + bp(m-1,x,t)$$
$$- (a+b)p(m,x,t),$$

$$\partial p(1,x,t)/\partial t = ap(2,x,t) - (a+b)p(1,x,t).$$
 (4)

Since there are exactly *n* tasks with priority greater than *x* at t=0, the initial condition on these equations is  $p(m,x,t=0) = \delta_{m,n+1}$ .

The only difference between the equations for Q and for p is at the boundary: It is easy to see that the total probability  $Q_{tot}(x,t) \equiv \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} Q(m,x,t)$  has a vanishing time derivative  $\dot{Q}_{tot}(x,t) = 0$ , whereas the derivative  $\dot{p}_{tot}(x,t)$  of the probability  $p_{tot}(x,t) \equiv \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} p(m,x,t)$  satisfies  $\dot{p}_{tot}(x,t) = -ap(1,x,t)$ . This reflects the fact that m=0 is an absorbing boundary for p(m,x,t): When m=1, only the task with priority x that arrived in the queue at t=0 is present. If that task is executed, then the process of emptying the queue of tasks whose priorities equal or exceed x is complete, which implies that  $G(n,x,\tau) = -\dot{p}_{tot}(x,t) = ap(1,x,t)$ .

Equations (4), which represent a random walk with a drift velocity (see [9] and [6]), are solved by standard Laplace transform methods (e.g., [9]), the chain of equations for the Laplace transform  $\tilde{p}(m,x,s)$  of p(m,x,t) taking the forms, for m > 1 and m = 1, respectively,

$$(s+a+b)\widetilde{p}(m,x,s) = a\widetilde{p}(m+1,x,s) + b\widetilde{p}(m-1,x,s) + \delta_{m,n+1},$$

$$(s+a+b)\tilde{p}(1,x,s) = a\tilde{p}(2,x,s) + \delta_{1,n+1}.$$
 (5)

It is easy to verify that the solution of these equations is given by  $\tilde{p}(m,x,s) = c_m \tilde{p}(1,x,s) + d_m$ , where  $c_m \equiv (\beta_+^m - \beta_-^m)/(\beta_+ - \beta_-)$  and  $d_m \equiv \theta(m-n-1)(\beta_-^{m-n-1} - \beta_+^{m-n-1})/a(\beta_+ - \beta_-)$ . Here  $\theta(n) \equiv 0$  for  $n \leq 0$  and  $\theta(n) \equiv 1$  for n > 0, and  $\beta_{\pm}$  are the solutions of the quadratic equation  $a\beta^2 - (s+a+b)\beta + b=0$ . We define  $\beta_+$  to be the solution that approaches s/a for large |s|. It can then be shown [10] that  $|\beta_+| \geq |\beta_-|$  for all s. It follows that, at all s for which  $|\beta_+| > 1$  (which is the case for all sufficiently large |s|),  $\tilde{p}(1,x,s)$  must be given by  $\tilde{p}(1,x,s) = (\beta_+)^{-n-1}/a$  in order for  $\tilde{p}(m,x,s)$  to be bounded for large m. Hence  $\tilde{G}(n,x,s)$ , the Laplace transform of G(n,x,t), is given by  $\tilde{G}(n,x,s) = (\beta_+)^{-n-1}$ . From Eq. (1), we then have the expression

$$P(\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} dx \int_{\gamma - i\infty}^{\gamma + i\infty} \frac{ds}{2\pi i} e^{s\tau} (1 - b/a) (b/a)^{n} (\beta_{+})^{-n-1}$$
(6)

for the desired quantity  $P(\tau)$ . Here  $\gamma$  is an arbitrary positive real number that is chosen large enough so that  $|\beta_+| > 1$  for all *s* on the integration path. Performing the sum over *n* yields

$$P(\tau) = \int_0^1 dx \int_{\gamma - i\infty}^{\gamma + i\infty} \frac{ds}{2\pi i} e^{s\tau} (1 - b/a) (\beta_+ - b/a)^{-1}.$$
 (7)

Aside from the factor  $e^{s\tau}$ , the only *s* dependence of the integrand comes from  $\beta_+$ . The only singularities of the integrand occur where  $\beta_+$  itself has singularities, i.e., along a branch cut on the real axis between  $s_- \equiv -(\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b})^2$  and  $s_+ \equiv -(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b})^2$ . It is easy to show that this branch cut lies entirely to the left of the integration path in Eq. (7). [The factor  $(\beta_+ - b/a)$  vanishes only if  $\mu = \lambda$  and x = 0, and then only at  $s = s_+ = 0$ , which is the branch point at the right end of the branch cut.] The integral is performed by closing the contour at large |s| in the left half plane. We now deform this contour into one that runs just above and below the branch cut and encloses it counterclockwise in standard fashion [11], yielding the following expression for  $P(\tau)$ :

$$P(\tau) = \int_0^1 dx \int_{s_-}^{s_+} ai \frac{ds}{2\pi i} e^{s\tau} (1 - b/a) \frac{\left[(s - s_-)(s_+ - s)\right]^{1/2}}{(-sb)}.$$
(8)

It remains only to extract the leading behavior of this double integral for asymptotically large  $\tau$ . Note that, as  $\tau$  gets large, the integral is dominated increasingly by values of *s* near the upper limit  $s_+$  of the integration range. Writing  $s = s_+ - u$ , we have

$$P(\tau) = \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{s_+ - s_-} du \frac{e^{(s_+ - u)\tau} (a - b) [u(s_+ - u - s_-)]^{1/2}}{2\pi b(u - s_+)}.$$
(9)

We now distinguish two cases.

(a)  $\mu = \lambda$ . Here  $s_{+} = -(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b})^{2} = -\lambda x^{2}/4 + O(x^{3})$ , whereupon the largest values of the exponentials and hence the leading asymptotic behavior of the double integral come from the region where both x and u are near 0. Using  $a-b=\lambda x$ , we expand the integrand to leading order in x and u, obtaining, up to a multiplicative constant,  $e^{-(\lambda x^{2}/4+u)\tau}xu^{1/2}/(Au+Bx^{2})$ , for positive constants A and B. Sending the upper limits on both the u and x integrals to infinity, we perform the rescalings  $x=x'/\tau^{1/2}$  and  $u=u'/\tau$  to yield  $P(\tau) \sim \tau^{-3/2}$ , the prefactor being a convergent double integral over x' and u'.

(b)  $\mu > \lambda$ . Here  $a-b=\mu-\lambda+\lambda x$ , and so approaches a nonzero constant as  $x \to 0$ , as does  $s_+$ , which behaves like  $s_+ \sim -[(\sqrt{\mu}-\sqrt{\lambda})^2+vx+O(x^2)]$ , where  $v \equiv \sqrt{\lambda}(\sqrt{\mu}-\sqrt{\lambda})$ . Thus the exponential factor in the integrand behaves as  $e^{-(\sqrt{\mu}-\sqrt{\lambda})^2\tau}e^{-[vx+u+O(x^2)]\tau}$ , from which it follows that, again, the asymptotic behavior comes from *x* and *u* both near 0. All the

nonexponential factors of the integrand approach constants at x=u=0, except for the factor  $u^{1/2}$ . Sending the upper limits on the integrals to infinity and performing the rescalings  $x = x'/\tau$  and  $u=u'/\tau$ , we find, for asymptotically large  $\tau$ ,  $P(\tau) \sim \tau^{-5/2} e^{-\tau/\tau_0}$  [12], where  $\tau_0 \equiv (\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\lambda})^{-2}$ .

It is instructive to compare this result with that for the continuous-task approximation of Ref. [6] [see Model A, case (2),  $\lambda < \mu$ ]. There we obtained  $P(\tau) \sim \tau^{-5/2} \exp(-\tau/\tau_0)$ where  $1/\tau_0 = (\mu - \lambda)^2 / [4\mu(1 - \lambda)]$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  were probabilities per discrete time step, and  $\tau_0$  was the exponential time constant expressed as a number of time steps. If successive time steps are spaced  $\Delta t$  apart, the task execution and arrival rates are  $\mu' = \mu/\Delta t$  and  $\lambda' = \lambda/\Delta t$ , and the exponential time constant is  $\tau'_0 = \tau_0 \Delta t$ . For the continuous-time limit, we take  $\Delta t$  $\rightarrow 0$ , keeping  $\mu'$  and  $\lambda'$  constant, and obtain  $1/\tau'_0 = \mu'(1$  $(-\eta)^2/4$  where  $\eta \equiv \lambda'/\mu'$ . By way of comparison, the present paper yields (affixing primes to keep the notation consistent)  $1/\tau_0' = (\sqrt{\mu'} - \sqrt{\lambda'})^2 = \mu'(1 - \sqrt{\eta})^2$ . The critical point is at  $\eta$ = 1, where this case (b) changes over to case (a). In the limit  $\eta \rightarrow 1$  from below, we have  $\mu'(1-\sqrt{\eta})^2 \rightarrow \mu' [(1-\eta)^2/4]$  $+O((1-\eta)^3)$ ], which agrees near the critical point, as it should, with the result from Ref. [6].

Finally, we consider the case  $\mu < \lambda$ , wherein tasks arrive more frequently than they are executed on average, produc-

ing a queue that grows in time t as  $(\lambda - \mu)t$ . As we pointed out in Ref. [6], however, tasks with priorities  $x > x^* \equiv (\lambda - \mu)/\lambda$  are executed more frequently than they arrive on average, since their rate of arrival,  $\lambda(1-x)$ , is less than  $\mu$ . As in [6], therefore, the analysis of these tasks can be shown to be identical to that of case (a) above. In particular, the change of variable from x to w defined by  $x=x^*+(1-x^*)w$  maps the range  $x^* < x < 1$  onto the range 0 < w < 1, and transforms the quantities  $a \equiv \mu$  and  $b(x) \equiv \lambda(1-x)$  in Eqs. (2) and (4) into  $\tilde{a} = \tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\lambda} = \mu$ . Hence the problem of the tasks with  $x > x^*$ maps precisely onto the original problem with  $\mu = \lambda$ , i.e., case (a) above, for which  $P(\tau) \sim \tau^{-3/2}$ .

Since, moreover, the total number of tasks with  $x < x^*$  in the queue grows without bound as time progresses, the probability of executing such a task approaches 0 in the long-time limit. Asymptotically, therefore, all such tasks remain in the queue forever.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Sid Redner for providing helpful information about first-passage probabilities.

- [1] A.-L. Barabási, Nature (London) **435**, 207 (2005), and supplementary online information.
- [2] A. Vázquez et al., Phys. Rev. E 73, 036127 (2006).
- [3] J. G. Oliveira and A.-L. Barabási, Nature (London) 437, 1251 (2005), and supplementary online information.
- [4] For example, D. R. Cox and W. L. Smith, *Queues* (Methuen & Co., London, 1961); D. Gross and C. M. Harris, *Fundamentals of Queueing Theory*, 3rd ed. (Wiley, New York, 1998).
- [5] A. Cobham, J. Oper. Res. Soc. Am. 2, 70 (1954).
- [6] G. Grinstein and R. Linsker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 130201 (2006).
- [7] These results were announced but not derived in Ref. [6].
- [8] J. Abate and W. Whitt, Queueing Syst. 25, 173 (1997), and references therein.
- [9] S. Redner, A Guide to First-Passage Processes (Cambridge University Press, New York, 2001).
- [10] To see that  $|\beta_+| \ge |\beta_-|$  for all *s*, define  $z \equiv s+a+b \equiv re^{i\phi}$  and  $z_{\pm} \equiv z \mp 2\sqrt{ab} \equiv r_{\pm}e^{i\phi_{\pm}}$ . Then  $\beta_{\pm} = (z \pm \sqrt{z_+z_-})/2a$ , so that  $|\beta_+|$

 $<|\beta_{-}|$  if and only if  $|\phi_{+}+\phi_{-}-2\phi| > \pi$ , which is impossible. Also,  $|\beta_{+}|=|\beta_{-}|$  only for *s* on the branch cut of  $\beta_{+}$  and  $\beta_{-}$ , i.e., on the interval  $[s_{-},s_{+}]$  of the real *s* axis, where  $s_{\pm} \equiv -(\sqrt{a} \mp \sqrt{b})^{2}$ . Note also that, since  $\beta_{-}\beta_{+}=b/a \le 1$ ,  $|\beta_{-}| \le 1$  for all *s*.

- [11] See, e.g., G. F. Carrier, M. Krook, and C. E. Pearson, Functions of a Complex Variable: Theory and Technique (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966).
- [12] This result differs from the  $P(\tau) \approx \tau^{-3/2} \exp(-\tau/\tau_0)$  form obtained numerically in Refs. [1–3]. Just as in Ref. [6], however, when  $\lambda$  is just slightly less than  $\mu$ , making  $\tau_0$  large, there is an intermediate  $\tau$  regime,  $1 \ll \mu \tau \ll \mu \tau_0$ , where the  $x^2$  term of  $s_+$  dominates the term of order *x* for almost the entire range of *x*. Thus the scaling of the *x* integral in Eq. (9) proceeds as for  $\mu = \lambda$  [case (a)], yielding the result  $P(\tau) \approx \tau^{-3/2} \exp(-\tau/\tau_0)$ , valid in the intermediate  $\tau$  regime, but not for asymptotically large  $\tau$ .